

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/584,365 | 06/23/2006 | Bengt Bjellqvist | PU03103 | 8056 |
| 22840 7550 07/18/2011 GE HEALTHCARE BIO-SCIENCES CORP. | | | EXAMINER | |
| MELISSA LECK | | | BALL, JOHN C | |
| 101 CARNEGIE CENTER PATENT DEPARTMENT | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| PRINCETON, NJ 08540 | | | 1759 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/18/2011 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LSUSPatents@ge.com

Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) BJELLQVIST ET AL. | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 10/584,365 | | | | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| J. CHRISTOPHER BALL | 1759 | | | | | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

| WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Because of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In one overs, however, may a reply be timely filled SX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. SX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication are not one of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(s). In one SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to reply within the act or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONEC (50 LSC, § 135). Septiment of the tomat of the state of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any adjustment of the state of the state of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any adjustment and statement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
|--|--|
| Status | |
| 2a) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2011. This action is FINAL. 2b) \(\times \) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposit | ion of Claims |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-6.8-12.14 and 15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are nejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |
| Applicat | ion Papers |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority : | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 |
| 12) 🔲 a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |
| Attachmer | nt(s) |

| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | |
|---|---|--|
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Fatent Drawing Review (FTO-948) | Paper Ne(s)/Mail Date | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Notice of Informal Patent Application | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 10/584,365 Page 2

Art Unit: 1759

DETAILED ACTION

Summary

- This Office Action is based on the Response filed with the Office on June 20, 2011, regarding the BJELLQVIST et al. application.
- Claims 1-6, 8-12, 14, and 15 are currently pending and have been fully considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,365

Art Unit: 1759

5. Claims 1, 2, 10, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over RICE et al. (WO 98/00706), submitted to the Office on an Information Disclosure Statement, in view of an article by GIANAZZA et al. ("Formulations for immobilized pH gradients including pH extremes", ELECTROPHORESIS, vol. 10, 1989, p. 806-808). Note that the present RICE reference is different from the RICE reference cited in the previously Office Actions.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, RICE discloses a membrane loader for gel electrophoresis, wherein is taught a method for sample application to a gel comprising:

placing a hydrophilic support that is a sample loading support, wherein the hydrophilic support is in the form of a combination of a membrane loader (page 24, lines 17-18) and a buffer block (page 25, lines 6-9), between a cathode (206, Figure 5b) and the cathode side of a gel (left side of 204, Figure 5b), wherein the support can be celluloid, plastic nylon or nitrocellulose (page 6, lines 14-16), which are hydrophilic, and the hydrophilic support is in contact with the cathode at one end (page 25, lines 19-21); and

applying the sample onto the hydrophilic support (page 25, lines 10-17), wherein the hydrophilic support is derivatized with positively charged groups (page 6, lines 17-19).

RICE does not explicitly teach providing an acidic interval IPG gel as a preswollen ready-to-go gel.

However, GIANAZZA teaches formulations of acidic interval IPG gels (A-E, Table 1, p. 807), where the gels are swollen for use (paragraph bridging p. 806 and 808).

At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to modify the method as taught by RICE to utilize an acidic interval IPG swollen gel as taught by GIANAZZA because IPG gels make it possible to perform isoelectric focusing separations.

Regarding claim 10, RICE teaches the loader membrane can have a varying thickness and thereby releasably retain more or less sample (page 11, lines 22-24). Therefore, the sample applied can be of any amount including in preparative amounts.

Regarding claims 12, RICE discloses a kit comprising a positively charged (page 6, lines 17-19) sample applicator (308, Figure 5b) and a gel (204, Figure 5b).

RICE does not teach an IPG gel.

RICE does not explicitly teach providing an acidic interval IPG gel as a preswollen ready-to-go gel.

However, GIANAZZA teaches formulations of acidic interval IPG gels (A-E. Table 1, p. 807), where the gels are swollen for use (paragraph bridging p. 806 and 808).

At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to modify the method as taught by RICE to utilize an acidic interval IPG swollen gel as taught by GIANAZZA because IPG gels make it possible to perform isoelectric focusing separations.

Regarding claim 14. GIANAZZA teaches an acidic interval with pH between 2.5 and 5 (D and E, Table 1, p. 807), which encompasses the range from 3.5 to 5.

6 Claims 3-6, 8, 9, 11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over RICE et al. (WO 98/00706), submitted to the Office on an Information Disclosure Statement, in view of an article by GIANAZZA et al. ("Formulations for immobilized pH gradients including pH extremes", ELECTROPHORESIS, vol. 10, 1989, p. 806-808) as applied to claims 1, 2, 10, 12, and 14 above, and further in view of CARLSSON et al. (US 6.528.322 B1).

Regarding claims 3-6. RICE, as modified by GIANAZZA, teaches the limitations of claim 1, as outlined above.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,365

Art Unit: 1759

RICE teaches that the support can be positively charged (page 6, lines 17-19), which one of skill in the art would know could be obtained by cation groups.

RICE does not explicitly teach cation groups.

However, CARLSSON discloses a method and apparatus for the separation of analytes via a matrix, wherein is taught a ligand/structure that can be introduced to the matrix (Col. 6, lines 19-21), where the ligand/structure comprise ion-exchange functional groups including anion exchangers (inherently cation groups), such as quaternary aminoethyl and diethyl aminoethyl (Col. 6, lines 44-49).

At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method as taught by RICE in functionalizing the support with either the quaternary aminoethyl and diethyl aminoethyl as taught by CARLSSON because it would provide the positively charged surface that RICE recites for the support (RICE, page 6, lines 17-19).

Regarding claims 8 and 9, RICE, as modified by GIANAZZA, teaches the limitations of claim 1, as outlined above. RICE additionally teaches that the support can be formed from celluloid materials.

RICE does not explicitly teach the support is made from regenerated cellulose.

However, CARLSSON teaches matrices can be formed from regenerated cellulose (Col. 5. line 47-49), which would extend to the materials from which the

Application/Control Number: 10/584,365

Art Unit: 1759

ligand/structure can be formed. CARLSSON also teaches the ligand/structure comprise ion-exchange functional groups including anion exchangers such as quaternary aminoethyl and diethyl aminoethyl (Col. 6, lines 44-49), which quaternary ammonium would be logical substitute to a skilled artisan that would yield predictable results.

At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method as taught by RICE in forming the support from regenerated cellulose and functionalizing the support with either the quaternary aminoethyl and diethyl aminoethyl as taught by CARLSSON because it would provide the positively charged surface that RICE recites for the support (RICE, page 6, lines 17-19).

Regarding claim 11, RICE, as modified by GIANAZZA, teaches the limitations of claim 1, as outlined above.

RICE does not teach the method as a first step in 2D electrophoresis.

However, CARLSSON teaches a 2D electrophoresis (Col. 3, lines 19-30; Figures 2A-C).

At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method as taught by RICE to make the method the first step in a 2D electrophoresis process as taught by CARLSSON because it allows a better separation means versus a single dimension electrophoresis.

Art Unit: 1759

Regarding claim 15, RICE, as modified by GIANAZZA, teaches the limitations of claim 12.

RICE does not explicitly teach the support is made from regenerated cellulose.

However, CARLSSON teaches matrices can be formed from regenerated cellulose (Col. 5, line 47-49), which would extend to the materials from which the ligand/structure can be formed. CARLSSON also teaches the ligand/structure comprise ion-exchange functional groups including anion exchangers such as quaternary aminoethyl and diethyl aminoethyl (Col. 6, lines 44-49), which quaternary ammonium would be logical substitute to a skilled artisan that would yield predictable results.

At the time of the present invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method as taught by RICE in forming the support from regenerated cellulose and functionalizing the support with either the quaternary aminoethyl and diethyl aminoethyl as taught by CARLSSON because it would provide the positively charged surface that RICE recites for the support (RICE, page 6, lines 17-19).

Art Unit: 1759

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, p. 2-4, filed June 20, 2011, with respect to the rejections of the pending claims under 35 USC 103(a) in view of the teachings discloses in RICE (WO 96/27787) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the teachings disclosed in RICE (WO 98/00706).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to J. CHRISTOPHER BALL whose telephone
number is (571)270-5119. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday
through Thursday, 9 am to 5 pm Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Barton can be reached on (571) 272-1307. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,365 Page 10

Art Unit: 1759

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. CHRISTOPHER BALL/ Examiner, Art Unit 1759

07/13/2011